## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI WESTERN DIVISION

KAREN RAY WESTCOTT,	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
vs.	)	Case No. 5:19-cv-6036-DGK
SHELLY WINTER,	)	
MELINDA ADAMS, TIMOTHY CARLSON,	)	
KIP KIESO,	)	
Defendants.	ý	

## **ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO STAY**

This lawsuit arises out of Plaintiff's claims that while he was on parole in Missouri for "numerous class C and D felonies associated with sex crimes," he was required to take, and pay for, polygraph exams that were administered by Defendant Kieso and others in an abusive way which violated his rights under 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

Now before the Court is Defendant Kieso's Motion to Stay Case (Doc. 31) while the district court considers his motion to dismiss based on pure questions of law, no fact.

"[T]he power to stay proceedings is incidental to the power inherent in every court to control the disposition of the causes on its docket with economy of time and effort for itself, for counsel, and for litigants." *Landis v. North Am. Co.*, 299 U.S. 248, 254 (1936); *Contracting Nw., Inc. v. City of Fredericksburg*, 713 F.2d 382, 387 (8th Cir. 1983) (holding district court has the "inherent power" to stay litigation "to control its docket, conserve judicial resources, and provide for a just determination of the cases pending before it"). A stay should be entered only where it is a proper exercise of the court's discretion, *Rhines v. Weber*, 544 U.S. 269, 276 (2005), and the

proponent of the stay bears the burden of establishing the need for a stay. Nken v. Holder, 556

U.S. 418, 433-34 (2009).

In the present case, the Court finds both parties will benefit by a stay because if the Court

grants the motion neither party will have wasted time or money in discovery. Further, Plaintiff

will not be prejudiced by any delay in pursuing discovery, because the Court will rule promptly

once both parties have had an opportunity to brief the issue.

The motion is GRANTED. This case is STAYED until the Court orders otherwise.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: October 4, 2019

/s/ Greg Kays

GREG KAYS, JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

2